

Direct Numerical Simulation of Pressure Fluctuations Induced by Supersonic Turbulent Boundary Layers

PI: Lian Duan --- NSF PRAC ACI-1640865- --- Missouri U. of S&T

Project Members: Junji Huang, Chao Zhang, Ryan Krattiger NCSA POC: Dr. JaeHyuk Kwack

MISSOURI SET

Blue Waters Symposium – 2019 Sunriver, OR, USA, June 3-6, 2019

Boundary-Layer-Induced Pressure Fluctuations

- Pressure fluctuations (p') induced by supersonic turbulent boundary layers
 - Theoretical significance
 - Vorticity dynamics (high vorticity ⇔ low pressure)
 - turbulence modeling (pressurestrain terms in the transport equations for the Reynolds stresses) (*Pope 2000*)
 - Engineering applications
 - p'_w → vibrational loading of flight vehicles
 - p'∞ → freestream noise of supersonic wind tunnels



Application: Freestream noise in High-Speed Wind-Tunnel Facilities



In a conventional tunnel ($M_{\infty} > 2.5$), tunnel noise is dominated by acoustic radiation from turbulent boundary layers on tunnel side-walls (*Laufer, 1964*)

Boundary-Layer-Induced Pressure Fluctuations

- Limited understanding of global pressure field induced by high-speed turbulent boundary layers
 - theory
 - unable to predict detailed pressure spectrum
 - experiment
 - unable to measure instantaneous spatial pressure distribution
 - susceptible to measurement errors (Beresh 2011)
 - computation
 - largely limited to incompressible boundary layers
 - freestream pressure fluctuations not studied
- Direct Numerical Simulation (DNS) is used to investigate boundarylayer-induced pressure field
 - statistical and spectral scaling of pressure
 - large-scale pressure structures
 - correlation between regions of extreme pressure and extreme vorticity
 - acoustic radiation in the free stream

Focus of Current Project

Boundary-Layer-Induced Pressure Fluctuations

Single, flat wall configuration (Duan et al., JFM 2014, 2016, Zhang et al. JFM, 2017)

- Developed a **DNS database** of BL acoustic radiation
 - $M_{\infty} = 2.5 14$

•

- $T_w/T_r = 0.18 1.0$
- $Re_{\tau} \approx 400 2000$

Single, flat wall

Acoustic radiation



Axisymmetric nozzle



 Effect of **axisymmetry** on turbulent BLs and their acoustic radiation



Why Blue Waters?

Boundary-Layer-Induced Pressure Fluctuations

- World-class computing capabilities of Blue Waters required for DNS of turbulent boundary layers and boundary-layer-induced noise at high Reynolds numbers
 - Extremely fine meshes required to fully resolve all turbulence/acoustics scales
 - Large domain sizes needed to locate very-large-scale coherent structures
 - large number of time steps required for the study of low-frequency behavior of the pressure spectrum

 Production runs require at least 1,000 compute nodes for production science ("High-scalable" runs)

Outline

- DNS methodology
- Software workflow
 - Domain Decomposition Strategy
 - I/O requirement
 - Parallel Performance
- Results of Domain Science
 - Boundary-layer-induced pressure statistics & structures
 - Boundary-layer freestream radiation
- Summary

DNS for Compressible Turbulent Boundary Layers

- Conflicting requirements for numerical schemes
 - Shock capturing requires numerical dissipation
 - Turbulence needs to reduce numerical dissipation



Numerical Methods

- Hybrid WENO/Central Difference Method
 - High-order non-dissipative central schemes for capturing broadband turbulence (Pirozzoli, JCP, 2010)
 - Weighted Essentially Non-Oscillatory (WENO) adaptation for capturing shock waves (*Jiang & Shu JCP 1996,* Martin et al. JCP, 2006)



- Rely on a shock sensor to distinguish shock waves from smooth turbulent regions
 - physical shock sensor based on vorticity and dilatation (Ducro, JCP, 2000)
 - numerical shock sensor based on WENO smoothness measurement and limiter (Taylor et al, JCP 2007)

Software Structure



DNS Methodology Domain Decomposition



 $\begin{array}{l} x-node = 4 \\ y-node = 3 \end{array}$

Static data decomposition and ghost cell update between four processors

Computational Performance

Strong Scaling (Computation Time only)

Weak Scaling (Computation Time only)



Computation scales well to 1000 XE nodes (32,000 cores)

- Strong Scaling: mesh size fixed at 3200x320x500, increase # of cores
- Weak Scaling: pencil size fixed at 16x16x500, increase # of cores and mesh size

IO Workflow

□ I/O requirements

- Restart I/O
 - five floating-point quantities per grid point consisting of all the primitive flow variables
 - (~ **1.0 TB** per dump, ~ **50** dumps per production run)
- Analysis I/O
 - ASCII dumps of running-averaged statistics and boundary-layer integral quantities (< 1.0 GB per dump)
 - data-intensive HDF5 time series: 2D plane cuts and 3D subsets of the calculated flow volume for statistical/spectral analyses and visualization (~ 200 GB per dump, ~ 200 dumps per production run)
- Data archival
 - All the ASCII dumps and HDF5 timeseries files for postprocessing (~ 40 TB)
 - up to 10 restart files (~ 10 TB)

IO Workflow

□ I/O Methodology

- "One-file" mode: All processes collectively write into the same restart or timeseries file (N_{file} = 1) using parallel HDF5 (< 100 GB per dump)
- "Multiple-file" mode: restart and timeseries dump written into a small number of file using parallel HDF5 (> 100 GB per dump)



IO performance

Overall performance

Software Profiling

Time breakdown (6400x1280x500, 160GB per dump)

Results of Domain Science

Multivariate statistics and structure of global pressure field induced by high-speed turbulent BLs

x = 2.0 m

 $M_{inlet} = 3.85$

x = 4.15 m

DNS of Tunnel Freestream Acoustic Disturbances

Acoustic Disturbances in the Full-Scale Nozzle of a Hypersonic Wind Tunnel

- Nozzle geometry and flow conditions match those of the Mach 6 Hypersonic Ludwieg Tube Braunschweig (HLB)
 - $p_0 = 722 \text{ kPa}, T_0 = 469 \text{ K}, T_w = 293 \text{ K}$
- "Embedded" DNS method
 - DNS inflow provided by a full-domain RANS (-1.0 m < x < 4.2)
 - DNS domain enclosed in RANS domains
 - run1: 2.0 m 3.9 m •
 - run2: 3.5 m 4.15 m •

Mach

Box-1 points: 3.05×10⁹ Box-2 points: 4.26×10⁹

BANS Domain

DNS of Tunnel Freestream Acoustic Disturbances

Acoustic Disturbances in the Full-Scale Nozzle of a Hypersonic Wind Tunnel

- The wave fronts exhibit a preferred orientation with respect to nozzle centerline with in the x-r plane
- The density gradients reveal the omnidirectional origin of the acoustic field within a given cross-section of the nozzle

Grayscale: numerical schlieren Colors: vorticity magnitude

DNS of Tunnel Freestream Acoustic Disturbances

RMS Pressure Fluctuation

 Noise reverberation seems to significantly influence p'_{rms} within the axisymmetric nozzle, leading to a faster decay to its freestream level and increased freestream intensity for the nozzle case

DNS of Tunnel Freestream Acoustic Disturbances

Freestream Acoustic Spectrum

Wall

Outside BL ("free stream")

Reasonable agreement in PSD between the flat-plate and nozzle cases, especially in high frequencies

DNS of Tunnel Freestream Acoustic Disturbances

Freestream Pressure Structures

 Simultaneous presence of waves propagating in both upward and downward directions within the streamwise-radial plane

Summary

- Cutting-edge computational power of the Blue Waters is used to generate a DNS database of high-speed turbulent boundary layers
 - Single, flat-wall configuration
 - Axisymmetric nozzle configuration
- DNS database is used to study the boundary-layer-induced global pressure field
 - pressure statistics and structures
 - freestream acoustic radiation
- DNS code is being modernized on the Blue Waters to enable petascale simulations at higher Reynolds numbers
 - Software profiling
 - Parallel I/O
 - Hybrid MPI-OpenMP

Acknowledgment

- Dr. Meelan Choudhari at NASA Langley Research Center
 - for collaboration
- Funding Support
 - AFOSR (Award No. FA9550-14-1-0170)
- Computing resources
 - NCSA through NSF PRAC (Award No. ACI-1640865)

Reference

- Pope S. B. (2000). Turbulent Flows, Cambridge University Press, Aug 10, 2000
- Beckwith, I. E. and Miller C. G. (1990). Aerothermodynamics and Transition in High-Speed Wind Tunnels at NASA Langley. Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics, 22, 491-439.
- Casper, K., Wagner, J., Beresh, S., Henfling, J., Spillers, R. and Hunter P. (2016). High-Speed Fluid-Structure Interaction Experiments at Sandia National Laboratories. SAND2016-2255C.
- Laufer, J. (1964). Some statistical properties of the pressure field radiated by a turbulent boundary layer. The Physics of Fluids, 7(8), 1191-1197.
- Blanchard, A. E., Lachowicz, J. T., and Wilkinson, S. P. (1997).NASA Langley Mach 6 quiet wind-tunnel performance. AIAA Journal, Vol. 35, No. 1, January 1997, pp. 23–28.
- Beresh, S. J., Henfling, J. F., Spillers, R. W., and Pruett, B. O. (2011). Fluctuating wall pressures measured beneath a supersonic turbulent boundary layer. Physics of Fluids, 23(7), 075110.
- Zhang C., Duan L. and Choudhari M. M. (2017). Effect of Wall Cooling on Boundary-Layer-Induced Pressure Fluctuations at Mach 6. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, vol. 822, pp. 5-30, 2017.
- Duan L., Choudhari M. M. and Zhang C. (2016). Pressure Fluctuations induced by a Hypersonic Turbulent Boundary Layer. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, vol. 804, pp. 578-607, 2016.

Reference

- Duan L., Choudhari M. M. and Wu, M. (2014). Numerical Study of Acoustic Radiation due to a Supersonic Turbulent Boundary Layer. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, vol. 746, pp. 165-192, 2014.
- Zhang, C., Duan, L. and Choudhari M. M. (2016). Acoustic Radiation from a Mach 14 Turbulent Boundary layer. AIAA Paper 2016-0048.
- Duan, L., Choudhari, M. M., Chou, A., Munoz, F., Ali, S.R.C., Radespiel, R., Schilden T., Schroder, W, Marineau, E. C., Casper, K. M., Schroeder, Chaudhry, R. S., Candler, G. V., Gray, K. A., Sweeney C. J. and Schneider S. P. (2018). Characterization of Freestream Disturbances in Conventional Hypersonic Wind Tunnels", AIAA Paper 2018-0347.
- Pirozzoli, S. (2010). Generalized conservative approximations of split convective derivative operators. Journal of Computational Physics, 229(19), 7180-7190.
- Martín, M. P., Taylor, E. M., Wu, M., & Weirs, V. G. (2006). A bandwidthoptimized WENO scheme for the effective direct numerical simulation of compressible turbulence. Journal of Computational Physics, 220(1), 270-289.
- Jiang, G. S., & Shu, C. W. (1996). Efficient implementation of weighted ENO schemes. Journal of computational physics, 126(1), 202-228.
- Taylor, E. M., Wu, M., & Martín, M. P. (2007). Optimization of nonlinear error for weighted essentially non-oscillatory methods in direct numerical simulations of compressible turbulence. Journal of Computational Physics, 223(1), 384-397.

Reference

- Ducros, F., Laporte, F., Souleres, T., Guinot, V., Moinat, P., & Caruelle, B. (2000). High-order fluxes for conservative skew-symmetric-like schemes in structured meshes: application to compressible flows. Journal of Computational Physics, 161(1), 114-139.
- Xu, S., & Martin, M. P. (2004). Assessment of inflow boundary conditions for compressible turbulent boundary layers. Physics of Fluids, 16(7), 2623-2639.
- Touber, E. and Sandham, N. D. (2008). Oblique Shock Impinging on a Turbulent Boundary Layer: Low-Frequency Mechanisms. AIAA Paper 2008-4170.
- Huang J. and Duan L. (2016). Turbulent Inflow Generation for Direct Simulations of Hypersonic Turbulent Boundary Layers and Their Freestream Acoustic Radiation. AIAA Paper 2016-3639.
- Phillips, O. M. (1960). On the generation of sound by supersonic turbulent shear layers. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 9(01), 1-28.

Questions?

Backup

DNS of Tunnel Freestream Acoustic Disturbances

Acoustic Disturbances in the Full-Scale Nozzle of a Hypersonic Wind Tunnel

Grayscale: numerical schlieren Colors: vorticity magnitude

Acknowledgment

- Dr. Meelan Choudhari at NASA Langley Research Center
 - for collaboration
- Dr. JaeHyuk Kwack at NCSA
 - for his support to software profiling and MPI-OpenMP hybridization
- Funding Support
 - AFOSR (Award No. FA9550-14-1-0170)
- Computing resources
 - NCSA through NSF PRAC (Award No. ACI-1640865)

Results from JaeHyuk

DNS Performance Wall Time

The testing case is 3200x640x500. The results are based on 100 time steps.

HDF5 parts are labeled as ETC. USER/(WENOX+WENOY+WENOZ+Others)

DNS Performance

roofline analysis

4000 MPIs (integer core)

4000 MPIs (FPU)

DNS Performance roofline analysis

8000 MPIs (integer core)

8000 MPIs (FPU)

DNS Performance roofline analysis

16000 MPIs (integer core)

16000 MPIs (FPU)

DNS Performance

roofline analysis

32000 MPIs (integer core)

32000 MPIs (FPU)

DNS Performance

per-node performance

USER/(WENOX+WENOY+WENOZ+Others)

DNS Performance

per-node performance

Computational Intensity (FLOP/Byte)

